# Midterm 1 In-Class Review Problems

# **Problem 1: Tracing Execution with Higher-Order Functions**

What is the output of the following function?

```
1 function app(f, g) {
2 return f(function() { console.log("hat"); g("mat") });
3 }
4
5 app(function(h) {
6      h();
7      console.log("cat");
8      },
9      function(x) { console.log(x); });
```

**Approach** First, let's rewrite the program, giving names to all the anonymous functions. This will make it easier to reason about the program.

```
1 function app(f, g) {
2 function A() { console.log("hat"); g("mat") });
    return f(A);
3
4 }
\mathbf{5}
6 function B(h) {
    h();
7
    console.log("cat");
8
9 }
10
11 function C(x) {
    console.log(x);
12
13 });
14
15 app(B, C);
```

Check that the two programs above are equivalent. Now, we can reason as follows.

- 1. The program defines three functions and then calls app(B, C).
- Within app, the value of the arguments are f -> B and g -> C. The value of the local function A is the closure A[f -> B, g -> C]. Since body of A does not use f, we can safely write this closure-value as A[g -> C].
- 3. Within app, we call f(A). Based on the reasoning above, this is really the call B(A[g -> C]), so we enter B with A[g -> C] as the value of h.
- 4. Within B, there are two statements:
  - (a) we call h(), which is really the call  $A[g \rightarrow C]()$ , so we enter  $A[g \rightarrow C]$ .

- i. Within A[g -> C] the program first displays "hat" and then calls g("mat"), which is really the call C("mat"), thus we enter C with "mat" as the value of x.
- ii. Within C, we display the value of the argument x, thus the program displays "mat" next.f(A). From above,
- (b) B then displays "cat".

# **Problem 2: Functional Inputs**

Provide inputs to the function below that make the program display "A" and then "B". Your answer may not use console.log

```
1 function foo(f, g) {
    function H(x) {
2
       if (x > 10) { console.log("A"); }
3
    }
^{4}
\mathbf{5}
    function J(y) {
6
      function I() { console.log(y); }
7
      g(I);
8
    }
9
    f(H, J);
10
11 }
```

**Approach** The first step in this kind of problem is to figure out the types of all functions. It is obvious that x is a number, y is a string, f is a function of two arguments, and g is a one-argument function. So, we can write what we have so far as follows:

```
1 // foo(f: (k1: ____, k2: ____) => undefined,
         g: (j: ____) => undefined): undefined
2 //
3 function foo(f, g) {
      // H(x: number): undefined
4
      function H(x) {
5
        if (x > 10) { console.log("A"); }
6
      }
7
8
     // J(y: string): undefined
9
10
      function J(y) {
        // I(): undefined
11
        function I() { console.log(y); }
12
        g(I);
13
     }
14
     f(H, J);
15
16 }
```

Notice that f is applied to H and J, so the types of its arguments must be the same as the types of H and J respectively. Similarly, since g is applied to I, the type of the argument of g must be the same as the type of I. This gives us complete type information:

```
1 // foo(f: (k1: (x: number) => undefined, k2: (y: string) => undefined) => undefined,
2 // g: (j: () => undefined) => undefined): undefined
3 function foo(f, g) {
4 // H(x: number): undefined
```

```
function H(x) {
5
      if (x > 10) { console.log("A"); }
6
      }
7
8
      // J(y: string): undefined
9
      function J(y) {
10
          // I(): undefined
11
          function I() { console.log(y); }
12
          g(I);
13
      }
14
      f(H, J);
15
16 }
```

Now, we can write a sketch of our solution based entirely on the types:

```
1 function f(k1, k2) {
2
3 }
4
5 function g(j) {
6
7 }
8 foo(f, g)
```

Since the types are correct, the program above will run without errors, but will not display anything. However, we can now start to reason through the execution of the program.

- 1. foo(f, g) calls f(H, J), so we enter f with  $k1 \rightarrow H$  and  $k2 \rightarrow J$ .
- 2. The body of H prints "A" if x > 10, so we should change f to call k1(11), which is really the call H(11)
- 3. The function J takes a string y as an argument, and we can call it from f too, but it is not clear what the string should be. Let's guess "B", so f now also calls k2("B"), which is really J("B").
- 4. Within J is the call g(I). However, the value of I is  $I[y \rightarrow "B"]$ . Therefore, we enter g, with  $j \rightarrow I[y \rightarrow "B"]$ .
- 5. Therefore, we should modify g to call its argument j(), which is really the call  $I[y \rightarrow "B"]()$ , which prints "B".

The final solution is:

```
1 function f(k1, k2) {
2 k1(11);
3 k2("B");
4 }
5
6 function g(j) {
7 j();
8 }
9 foo(f, g)
```

You should check that this program displays what is expected by reasoning through its execution as we did in Problem 1.

## **Problem 3: Object References**

What does this program display?

```
1 let o = { x: 10 };
2 let arr = [ o ];
3 arr.push(o);
4 arr.push(o);
5 arr[2].x = 20;
6 o = { x: 30 };
7 console.log(arr[0].x + arr[1].x + arr[2].x);
```

### Approach

- 1. The program creates an array that initially has a single element, which is a copy of the object-reference stored in o.
- 2. The program then pushes two copies of the same object-reference into the array. Note that at this point, there is exactly one object, but **four references** (count them) to that object (three references in **arr**, and one in the variable **o**).
- 3. The program then updates the field x of that object to 20. (It uses the reference arr[2] to do so, but that is not relevant.)
- 4. Next, the program creates a new object { x: 30 } and updates the variable o to store a reference to this new object. However, this update does not affect the contents of the array, which are references to the first object.
- 5. Therefore, the program displays 60.

# 1 Problem 4: More Object References

This alternate example is meant to demonstrate the difference between using an object reference and using a new object. What does the following program display?

```
1 let o = { x: 10 };
2 let arr = [ o ];
3 arr.push( { x: 10 } );
4 arr.push( { x: 10 } );
5 arr[2].x = 20;
6 o = { x: 30 };
7 console.log(arr[0].x + arr[1].x + arr[2].x);
```

### Approach

- 1. Line 1: The program creates the object in memory and stores a reference to that object in variable o.
- 2. Line 2: The program creates a 1-element array that initially holds a copy of the value stored in **o** (which is a reference to the object in memory).
- 3. Lines 3 and 4: Each line creates a new object in memory and stores a reference to that object in the array. Note that at this point, there are three objects, but **two references** to the first object, **one reference** to the second object, and **one reference** to the third object.

- 4. Line 5: The program updates the field x of *one* object to 20. (It uses the reference arr[2] to do so, *this is relevant*.)
- 5. Line 6: The program creates a new object { x: 30 } and updates the variable o to store a reference to this new object. *However, this update does not affect the contents of the array, which contains a reference to the first object.* At this point, there are four objects in memory and one reference to each object.
- 6. Line 7: The program displays 40.